

The Federal Communications Commission

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

My cell phone is for emergency use only----I am 74 years old and my cell phone is for my family to be able to reach me , and for me to be able to get emergency help if I need it. This cell phone enables me to be independent and not be confined in any way , or to be a burden on anyone including local or federal government. My means are limited and imposing any additional taxes (primarily cause by the fed. government's foolish invasion of Iraq) I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Ray Landry
85 Bradley St.
North Haven, Connecticut 06473